



Leagues of Women Voters of Arlington and Tarrant County Education Fund

Nonpartisan for
90 years

Voters Guide

November 3, 2009

2009 Constitutional Amendments
and Local Propositions Election

Early Voting October 19 through October 30

Election Day Polls open 7 am to 7 pm

Issues That Affect You

PROPERTY TAXES • PUBLIC BEACHES

VETERANS • PRIVATE PROPERTY • COUNTY LAND



This Voters Guide is funded and published by the Leagues of Women Voters of Arlington and Tarrant County. The League of Women Voters is a nonpartisan organization that works to promote political responsibility through active, informed participation of all citizens in their government. The League does not support or oppose any political party or candidate.

The Constitutional Amendment Process

Texas voters have approved 456 amendments to the Texas Constitution since its adoption in 1876. An amendment is proposed in a joint resolution that can originate in either house of the state legislature, during a regular or special session. A joint resolution specifies the election date and may contain more than one amendment. The joint resolution must receive a vote of two-thirds before it is presented to the voters. The governor cannot veto a joint resolution. The governor can, however, veto the enabling legislation, the bill to enact the amendment if it is passed by voters. Not all joint resolutions

require enabling legislation. If the voters reject an amendment, the enabling legislation does not take effect. If the resolution fails, the Legislature may resubmit it in a future legislative session.

The legislature prescribes the ballot language for the joint resolution. Once the amendment is approved by both houses of the legislature, the Secretary of State writes a brief explanatory statement, which then is approved by the Attorney General. The Secretary of State also conducts a random drawing to assign each proposed amendment a proposition number. The constitution specifies that these statements be published twice in each newspaper in the state that prints official notices. The first publication is printed 50 to 60 days before the election. The second publication is printed the following week.

Amendments take effect when the official vote canvass confirms statewide voter approval, unless a later date is specified in the joint resolution.

Be an informed voter!

Attend the LWV Arlington Public Forum, Tuesday, October 20, 2009,
Westminster Presbyterian Church, 1330 S Fielder, Arlington. Doors open at 6:45 pm.

Qualifications for Voting

You must be a citizen of the United States.

You must be at least 18 years old on the day of the election.

You must be registered to vote.

You may register to vote at any time. However, in order for you to vote in a particular election, your application for registration must be postmarked or received by the registrar at least 30 days before that election. (*October 5th is the deadline to register to vote in this election.*)

You may not have been declared mentally incompetent by a court.

You must not be on parole, probation, or in prison for a felony conviction.

Where to Vote

During Early Voting October 19-October 30 you may vote at any of the 41 early voting locations in Tarrant County.

On Election Day November 3, you must vote in your precinct of residence. Your precinct is listed on your registration card, or you may find out where to vote by calling 817/831-8683 or going online with your county elections administrator: www.tarrantcounty.com/evote.

Required I.D.

You need a Voter Registration Card or other proof of identification such as a driver's license or passport. Call 817/831-8683 or go on-line with your county elections administrator: www.tarrantcounty.com/evote for all types of acceptable ID. You do not have to have your Voter Registration Card to vote.

Early Voting By Mail

To receive a ballot application or more information call Tarrant County Elections Administration: 817/831-8683

HELPFUL WEBSITES:

LWV of Arlington
www.lwvarlington-tx.org
817-472-5982

LWV of Tarrant County
www.lwvtarrantcounty.org
817-348-8683

League of Women Voters of Texas
www.lwvtexas.org

League of Women Voters (U.S.)
www.lwv.org

Texas Secretary of State
www.sos.state.tx.us

Texas Democratic Party
www.txdemocrats.org

Libertarian Party of Texas
www.tx.lp.org

Republican Party of Texas
www.texasgop.org

Vote Texas
www.votexas.org

Early Voting for November 3, 2009 Elections

October 19 - 23
October 24
October 25
October 26 - 28
October 29 - 30

Monday - Friday
Saturday
Sunday
Monday - Wednesday
Thursday - Friday

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.
11:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <p>1. MAIN EARLY VOTING SITE, Tarrant County Elections Center
 2700 Premier Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76111
 <i>*Emergency and Limited ballots available At this location only</i></p> <p>Arlington</p> <p>2. Bob Duncan Community Center
 2800 South Center Street
 Arlington, Texas 76014</p> <p>3. Elzie Odom Recreation Center
 1601 NE Green Oaks Boulevard
 Arlington, Texas 76006</p> <p>4. Fire Training Center
 5501 Ron McAndrew Drive
 Arlington, Texas 76013</p> <p>5. South Service Center
 1100 SW Green Oaks Boulevard
 Arlington, Texas 76017</p> <p>6. Tarrant County Sub-Courthouse in Arlington
 700 E Abram Street
 Arlington, Texas 76010</p> <p>7. B. J. Clark Annex-Azle Room 4
 603 Southeast Parkway
 Azle, Texas 76020</p> <p>8. Benbrook YMCA
 1899 Winscott Road
 Benbrook, Texas 76126</p> <p>9. Crowley Community Center
 900 East Glendale Street
 Crowley, Texas 76036</p> <p>10. Eules Public Library
 201 North Ector Drive
 Eules, Texas 76039</p> <p>Forest Hill</p> <p>11. Mahaney Community Center
 6800 Forest Hill Drive
 Forest Hill, Texas 76140</p> | <p>Fort Worth</p> <p>12. Diamond Hill/Jarvis Library
 1300 Northeast 35th Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76106</p> <p>13. Fort Worth Municipal Building
 1000 Throckmorton Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76102</p> <p>14. Griffin Sub-Courthouse
 3212 Miller Avenue
 Fort Worth, Texas 76119</p> <p>15. Handley-Meadowbrook Community Center
 6201 Beaty Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76112</p> <p>16. JPS Health Center Viola M. Pitts/Como Lower Level, Auditorium 1
 4701 Bryant Irvin Road N.
 Fort Worth, Texas 76107</p> <p>17. Riverside Community Center in the Park
 3700 East Belknap Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76111</p> <p>18. Southside Community Center
 959 East Rosedale Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76104</p> <p>19. Southwest Sub-Courthouse
 6551 Granbury Road
 Fort Worth, Texas 76133</p> <p>20. Summerglen Branch Library
 4205 Basswood Boulevard
 Fort Worth, Texas 76137</p> <p>21. Tarrant County Plaza Building
 201 Burnett Street
 Fort Worth, Texas 76102</p> <p>22. Villages of Woodland Springs Amenity Building
 12209 Timberland Boulevard
 Fort Worth, Texas 76248</p> <p>23. Worth Heights Community Center
 3551 New York Avenue
 Fort Worth, Texas 76110</p> | <p>24. Starrett Elementary School-Grand Prairie
 2675 Fairmont Drive
 Grand Prairie, Texas 75052</p> <p>25. Grapevine Community Activities Center
 1175 Municipal Way
 Grapevine, Texas 76051</p> <p>26. Haltom City Recreation Center
 4839 Broadway Avenue
 Haltom City, Texas 76117</p> <p>27. Hurst Recreation Center
 700 Mary Drive
 Hurst, Texas 76053</p> <p>28. Northeast Sub-Courthouse-Hurst
 645 Grapevine Highway
 Hurst, Texas 76054</p> <p>29. Keller Town Hall
 1100 Bear Creek Parkway
 Keller, Texas 76248</p> <p>30. Kennedale Community Center
 316 West 3rd Street
 Kennedale, Texas 76060</p> <p>31. Sheriff's Office N Patrol Div-Lake Worth
 6651 Lake Worth Boulevard
 Lake Worth, Texas 76135</p> <p>32. Mansfield Sub-Courthouse
 1100 East Broad Street
 Mansfield, Texas 76063</p> <p>33. North Richland Hills Recreation Center
 6720 Northeast Loop 820
 North Richland Hills, Texas 76180</p> <p>34. River Oaks City Hall
 4900 River Oaks Boulevard
 River Oaks, Texas 76114</p> <p>35. Saginaw City Hall
 333 West McLeroy Boulevard
 Saginaw, Texas 76179</p> <p>36. Southlake Town Hall
 1400 Main Street
 Southlake, Texas 76092</p> <p>37. White Settlement Recreation Center
 8213 White Settlement Road
 White Settlement, Texas 76108</p> |
|---|--|--|

Temporary Branch Early Voting Locations

October 20 - 22

Tuesday - Thursday

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

Dalworthington Gardens City Hall
2800 Roosevelt Dr
Dalworthington Gardens, Texas 76016

October 23, October 26 - 27
October 24
October 25

Friday, Monday - Tuesday
Saturday
Sunday

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.
7:00 a.m. - 7:00 p.m.
11:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

East Regional Library
6301 Bridge Street
Ft Worth, Texas 76112

October 26

Monday

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.


Trophy Club Municipal Building
Council Chambers, 100 Municipal Drive
Trophy Club, Texas 76262

October 26 - 27

Monday - Tuesday

8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

UTA - University of Texas at Arlington
EH Hereford University Center, 300 W 1st Street
Arlington, Texas 76019

 **Checking your choice in the boxes by each proposed amendment in this Voters Guide can save you time when you are casting your ballot. It is legal for you to take this Voters Guide into the polling place.**

PROPOSITION 1 Military Buffer Zones

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment authorizing the financing, including through tax increment financing, of the acquisition by municipalities and counties of buffer area or open spaces adjacent to a military installation for the prevention of encroachment or the construction of roadways, utilities, or other infrastructure to protect or promote the mission of the military installation.

Explanation

Currently, municipalities and counties do not have a method to raise the revenue needed to acquire land to provide a buffer zone or open space to prevent encroachment from development, or to fund the construction of roadways, utilities, or other infrastructure to protect or promote the mission of adjacent military installations.

The amendment would allow a municipality or county to issue bonds or notes, including tax increment bonds or notes, to finance the acquisition of land adjacent to a military base for the above purposes. If passed, it would take effect Dec. 1, 2009.

Arguments For

- Bases provide economic benefits to the state and to the counties and cities where they are located. This proposed amendment would protect military installations from encroaching development that could restrict training and operational missions, which in turn could cause military installations to close. The construction of highways, utilities, or other infrastructure would also protect or promote the military installations.
- The passage of this amendment would allow landowners to be compensated for their property. Any new infrastructure could also increase property values

Arguments Against

- Cities and counties should not take on additional debt at this time.
- Passing this amendment could lead to higher property taxes for property owners who are already feeling burdened by a distressed economy.

PROPOSITION 2 Homestead Valuation

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment authorizing the legislature to provide for the ad valorem taxation of a residence homestead solely on the basis of the property's value as a residence homestead.

Explanation

Residence homesteads throughout the state have experienced increasing appraisal values, in some instances more than 200 percent in one

year, especially if the property is not covered by zoning regulations. This is due to the appraisal practice known as "highest and best use," a common appraisal method that allows property to be valued on its potential use rather than the current use. Residence homesteads located near new commercial development, therefore, have the potential for skyrocketing appraisal values. This amendment authorizes the legislature to require that a residence be appraised only on the basis of the property's value as a residence, regardless of what the highest and best use of the property may be. It would apply only to residential homesteads, not to second homes or investment properties.

Arguments For

- Texas already protects agricultural and timber land from large appraisal increases due to highest and best use. Now the state needs to protect residential property in the same way.
- A residence homestead should be appraised on its present use, not on any possible future use.
- Just because there is development in the area does not necessarily mean the property owner gets an advantage from it.

Arguments Against

- The "highest and best use" of a property has an impact on the sales price, which shows the real value.
- This amendment could reduce taxable property values and thus local tax revenues.
- The state might have to provide additional equalization funding to a school district if property values are lowered, a measure the state cannot afford.

PROPOSITION 3 Appraisal Uniformity

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment providing for uniform standards and procedures for the appraisal of property for ad valorem tax purposes.

Explanation

This proposition would amend the Texas Constitution to require that administrative and judicial enforcement of uniform standards and procedures for property tax appraisal be prescribed by general law enacted by the Texas Legislature. It would delete the existing requirement that enforcement of these appraisal procedures originate in the county where the tax is imposed.

Arguments For

- Passing this amendment would allow direct state enforcement authority and oversight over local appraisals in order to attain uniformity and equity of appraisal processes throughout the state. The state could take action to address inconsistencies in appraisal methods among counties.
- With this amendment in place, owners of property in different counties would be reassured that their properties were being evaluated in a similar manner and that state funding for public schools, which is based on the taxable property value in each school district, would be more equitably assessed.

Local Propositions start on page 7!!!!

Arguments Against

- This amendment is unnecessary because the state trains appraisers through the State Comptroller's Office and also produces an annual property tax study, which enables comparison of local property appraisals with the state appraisal of property.
- The proposition would result in giving up local control to the state, which might not understand local conditions.

PROPOSITION 4 Tier 1 Universities

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment establishing the national research university fund to enable emerging research universities in this state to achieve national prominence as major research universities and transferring the balance of the higher education fund to the national research university fund.

Explanation

In Texas, only the University of Texas at Austin and Texas A&M University are top-tier public research universities. Seven other universities in Texas are considered emerging research universities. They are Texas Tech University, University of Texas at Arlington, University of Texas at Dallas, University of Texas at El Paso, University of Texas at San Antonio, University of Houston, and University of North Texas.

To enable these emerging research universities in Texas to achieve national prominence, this amendment would establish a new National Research University Fund. The University of Texas at Austin and Texas A&M University would not be eligible to receive money from this fund.

The enabling legislation for this amendment would disperse the funds in the National Research University Fund to emerging research institutions through three mechanisms: the Research University Development Fund for educational and general activities that promote increased research capacity, the Texas Research Incentive Program to provide matching grants for donations from private sources, and the National Research University Benchmark Fund to reward universities that meet critical benchmarks toward achieving national prominence as major research universities

 Arguments For

- The presence of more tier-one universities would expand the educational opportunities available to Texas students and keep more of them in the state. Currently, Texas loses more than 10,000 high school graduates a year to doctorate-granting universities in other states.
- Tier-one universities are the best way to develop a highly skilled workforce, especially in the sciences, engineering, and professional fields that are critical to economic success.
- With a population of 24 million and only two public tier-one universities, Texas is at a disadvantage in attracting and retaining top talent and drawing research and venture capital investment to the state.

 Arguments Against

- In this time of limited state dollars, Texas should focus the use of the National Research University Fund only on those institutions that are closest to attaining tier-one status.
- If there is an urgency to develop more nationally competitive research universities, it would make more sense to target fewer than seven institutions.
- The amendment does not include a Sunset provision so that progress could be assessed periodically to determine if this plan is achieving the goal of creating more tier-one research universities.

PROPOSITION 5 Appraisal Review Consolidation

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment authorizing the legislature to authorize a single board of equalization for two or more adjoining appraisal entities that elect to provide for consolidated equalizations.

Explanation

The primary function of a board of equalization is to hear appeals of the appraised value of taxable property and to resolve disputes between taxpayers and the appraisal district. Each appraisal district must appoint a board of equalization. The prerequisites to serve on such an appraisal review board are minimal; however, the number of people familiar with the appraisal of property, or who are willing to serve, is limited in some counties. This amendment would allow the Legislature to authorize a single board of equalization for two or more adjoining appraisal entities that want to consolidate their appraisal review process.

 Arguments For

- Many rural counties have a difficult time finding enough qualified and willing candidates to sit on their appraisal review boards.
- If appraisal districts can pool their talent for their appraisal reviews, it will help ensure a more professional, equitable and timely appraisal review process.

 Arguments Against

- Appointed appraisal reviewers from another county may not be familiar enough with the property they must judge in order to resolve disputes.
- This amendment does not go far enough in allowing appraisal districts to combine their efforts. Many rural counties have a difficult time staffing all aspects of their appraisal districts and should be allowed to consolidate even more functions.

PROPOSITION 6 Veteran Home Loan Bonds

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment authorizing the Veterans' Land Board to issue general obligation bonds in amounts equal to or less than amounts previously authorized.

Explanation

This amendment would allow the Veterans' Land Board (VLB) to provide for, issue, and sell general obligation bonds for the purpose of selling land or home mortgages to Texas veterans. The amount of the bonds could not exceed the principal amount previously authorized by constitutional amendments. In effect, the proposed amendment would reauthorize all previously authorized general obligation bonding authority in the Veterans Housing Assistance Fund, the Veterans' Housing Assistance Fund II, and the Veterans' Land Fund.

Arguments For

- If this amendment passes, it will increase the amount of funding available to the VLB to assist Texas veterans with home and land mortgages.
- The VLB needs a way to ensure the uninterrupted continuation of its home and land mortgage assistance programs without having to return to the Legislature every four years.
- VLB land and mortgage general obligation bonds are expected to be paid from revenue sources outside the state treasury. Thus, this proposed amendment would not have a significant fiscal impact to the state or local governments.

Arguments Against

- It is unwise to add a constitutional amendment about general obligation bonds that extends into the future for an unlimited time without any further oversight by the Legislature.
- If this amendment passes, elected officials can no longer be held accountable for VLB land and mortgage general obligation bonds.
- Helping Texas veterans is important, but state assistance needs to be reviewed and adjusted as needed on a regular basis to reflect the actual numbers of veterans in the state and the economic realities of the state in each time period.

PROPOSITION 7 Texas Guard Officeholders

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment to allow an officer or enlisted member of the Texas State Guard or other state militia or military force to hold other civil offices.

Explanation

If this proposed amendment were passed, it would allow officers and enlisted members of the Texas State Guard (or other state militia or military force) to hold a public office simultaneously. Currently, civil officials are prohibited from holding more than one compensated civil office, unless specifically exempted in the Constitution. Those now exempted include county commissioners and justices of the peace, notaries public and postmasters, and current and retired officers and enlisted members of the National Guard and U.S. Armed Forces.

The Texas State Guard was overlooked during earlier amend-

The Texas State Guard was overlooked during earlier amendments to this section largely because they were not very active. However, in recent years they have become much more active and provide vital services to Texas during natural disasters.

Arguments For

- This amendment would correct an oversight in the Texas Constitution. Currently state employees may also hold office in most branches of the military, including the National Guard. It is only right that members of the Texas State Guard and other Texas military forces should have the same option.
- Many civil officials would like to become active in the Texas State Guard in order to serve Texas directly in times of disaster. Passing Proposition 7 will make that service possible without these officials giving up their civil duties.

Arguments Against

- State employees have a duty to do the job for which they were hired, even in times of disaster. Thus, it is not reasonable that they should have two jobs vying for their service at the same time.
- Framers of the Texas Constitution specifically prohibited dual office-holding by state employees, and we should not continue to add exceptions to this rule.

PROPOSITION 8 Veterans Hospitals

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment authorizing the state to contribute money, property, and other resources for the establishment, maintenance, and operation of veterans' hospitals in this state.

Explanation

Texas now has nine inpatient veterans' hospitals in Houston, Temple, Waco, Bonham, Dallas, Kerrville, San Antonio, Amarillo, and Big Spring. The state does not currently have the authority to contribute to a veterans' hospital operated by the federal government. This proposed amendment would allow Texas to partner with the United States Department of Veterans Affairs and local communities to establish additional health care facilities. Texas ranks third in the country in the number of veterans residing in the state. In 2007, more than 47,000 inpatient visits and 4.3 million outpatient visits were recorded by the veterans health care facilities in Texas.

Arguments For

- Texas needs more veterans' hospitals and other health care facilities to accommodate its large population of veterans.
- The rising cost of travel can sometimes delay care for veterans who must go to one of the nine available hospitals. For instance, a veteran who lives in the Rio Grande Valley area has to travel approximately 300 miles to the nearest veterans' hospital in San Antonio. Passing Proposition 8 means Texas veterans will have better access to medical care.

Arguments Against

- Partnering with the federal government may lead to unintended consequences of red tape and interference.

—Continued next page

- A constitutional amendment is unnecessary to accomplish the goal of working with the federal government and local governments to provide better health care for veterans.

PROPOSITION 9 Public Beach Access

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment to protect the right of the public, individually and collectively, to access and use the public beaches bordering the seaward shore of the Gulf of Mexico.

Explanation

Proposition 9 would establish the public's unrestricted right to access public beaches as a permanent easement. A public beach is defined as a state-owned beach on the seaward shore of the Gulf of Mexico from the mean low tide to the landward boundary of the submerged land, and from the mean low tide line to the line of vegetation bordering the Gulf of Mexico for which the public has the right of use or easement. The proposed amendment would also authorize the Legislature to enact laws to protect the public access to the beach and the easement from interference and encroachment. There would be no right of private enforcement.

Arguments For

- This proposed amendment would strengthen the 1959 Open Beaches Act by making the law part of the Texas Constitution. For 50 years, Texas beaches have been protected as public property, allowing people from all walks of life to have access to beaches. Developers would not be able to build properties along the beach and restrict the public's access to the beach.
- Proposition 9 would protect the public's right to beach access in the wake of natural disasters, such as hurricanes and coastal erosion, that move the line of vegetation so that private structures may rest on Texas beaches. Several lawsuits regarding the status of houses that are now on public beaches are ongoing. The amendment would reduce such litigation. It would not prevent the Legislature from addressing issues related to natural events in the future but would clearly state the intent of Texas law to keep the beaches public.
- Property owners who have homes on Texas beaches already know that they face the risk of having their property shift into the public easement. Earnest money contracts, deeds, and title policies all state this risk. Houses on the beach have always been at risk as natural events cause the line between public and private land to move back and forth constantly.

Arguments Against

- Proposition 9 unfairly allows the state to require property owners whose houses are on public land because of storms and erosion to remove their buildings from public land without compensation.
- Many homes along the Texas Gulf Coast had existed for a number of years before Hurricane Ike's winds and storm surge changed the vegetation line and left them on the public beach. These property owners should not be denied the right to continue to live in their homes or to rebuild their homes.

- The Open Beach Act already gives the state too much authority to restrict the right of private landowners to enjoy their property. Having this proposition in the Constitution would compound the problem by making it much more difficult to change the law in the future.

PROPOSITION 10 Emergency Service Board Terms

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment to provide that elected members of the governing boards of emergency service districts may serve terms not to exceed four years.

Explanation

The Texas Constitution currently limits the duration of all public terms of office to two years unless otherwise provided in the Constitution. Proposition 10 would amend the Constitution to allow members of governing boards of Texas emergency service districts to serve terms not to exceed four years.

Arguments For

- Longer terms of service would promote stability and continuity on emergency service districts' boards.
- There is already a legislative precedent for four year terms for hospital districts, whose duties sometimes relate to and overlap emergency service districts.
- Longer terms would help avoid the politicization of essentially nonpartisan positions by eliminating an election every two years.

Arguments Against

- Longer terms of service for governing boards of emergency service districts diminish public oversight of the districts.
- Because these district boards have the power to levy taxes, they should be accountable to voters every two years, just as the members of the Texas House of Representatives are.
- The current system has adequate protection against improper political interference since the elections are nonpartisan.

PROPOSITION 11 Limit Eminent Domain

Official Ballot Language

The constitutional amendment to prohibit the taking, damaging, or destroying of private property for public use unless the action is for the ownership, use, and enjoyment of the property by the State, a political subdivision of the State, the public at large, or entities granted the power of eminent domain under law or for the elimination of urban blight on a particular parcel of property, but not for certain economic development or enhancement of tax revenue purposes, and to limit the legislature's authority to grant the power of eminent domain to an entity.

Explanation

The Texas Constitution provides for the power of eminent domain, which allows private property to be taken for public use with adequate compensation even if the property owner does not

wish to sell. Lands for public purposes such as highways, railroads, and public utilities have sometimes been acquired by eminent domain. A 2005 Texas law forbids taking of private property for purposes of economic development or the benefit of a private party.

This amendment would spell out the conditions under which private property could be taken by eminent domain. Specifically, the taken property must be owned, used, and enjoyed by the public at large, state or local government, or other agency granted the power of eminent domain by law. Taking private property for economic development or to enhance tax revenues would be prohibited by the Texas Constitution, not simply by Texas law. In addition, property could be taken if necessary to eradicate urban blight on the property. As of January 1, 2010, the power of eminent domain could be granted only by a two-thirds vote of the Texas Legislature.

Argument For

- Passing Proposition 11 would strengthen the ban on taking property for economic development by making this ban a part of the Texas Constitution. The language would require a condemning authority to keep the property in its possession, occupy it, and use it for some productive purpose.
- This proposition would further protect the rights of private property owners by requiring separate action on each individual property in blighted areas.
- Passage of this proposition would also limit expansion of eminent domain by requiring a two-thirds vote of the Texas Legislature to grant the power of eminent domain to an entity.

Argument Against

- Proposition 11 is unnecessary because Texas statute already prohibits taking private property by eminent domain for economic development.
- The “ownership, use, and enjoyment” language may prohibit certain legitimate uses of eminent domain. The language should be amended to read “ownership, use, or enjoyment.” This language requires that any taking, damaging, or destroying of private property must meet all three criteria (ownership, use, and enjoyment).
- Crucial needs for eminent domain supported by the majority of Texas legislators may be thwarted if this amendment is added to the Texas Constitution.

LOCAL PROPOSITIONS

ALEDO ISD

Proposition

"Approving the ad valorem tax rate of \$1.4252 per \$100 valuation in Aledo ISD for the current year, a rate that is \$0.1204 higher per \$100 valuation than the school district rollback tax rate."

ARLINGTON ISD

Proposition

"The issuance of \$197,500,000 of bonds by Arlington Independent School District and levying the tax in payment thereof."

CITY OF DALWORTHINGTON GARDENS

Proposition 1

"The legal sale of all alcoholic beverages for off-premise consumption only."

EVERMAN ISD

Proposition No. 1

"Approving the ad valorem tax rate of \$1.36 per \$100 valuation in Everman ISD for the current year. This rate is \$0.13 higher per \$100 valuation than the school district rollback tax rate."

FORT WORTH CRIME CONTROL AND PREVENTION DISTRICT

Proposition

"Whether The Fort Worth Crime Control and Prevention District should be continued for five (5) years and the Crime Control and Prevention District sales tax of one-half cent should be continued for five (5) years."

CITY OF HALTOM CITY

Proposition No. 1

"The reauthorization of the local sales and use tax in the City of Haltom City, Texas at the rate of one-fourth (1/4) of one percent (1%) to continue providing revenue for maintenance and repair of municipal streets."

CITY OF RIVER OAKS

Proposition No. 1 - Fiscal Year

"Shall the River Oaks Home Rule Charter be amended to provide that the fiscal year shall begin on the first day of October and end on the last day of September of the succeeding year by amending Section 10.01?"

Proposition No. 2 - Council Member Term, Filling Vacancies

"Shall the River Oaks Home Rule Charter be amended to provide for three (3) year terms for the Mayor and Council Members by amending Section 3.09; and to revise the method for filling vacancies on the Council by amending Section 3.13?"

—Continued next page

During Early Voting, you may vote anywhere in the County. If you vote on Election Day, you can only vote where your Precinct votes.

Proposition No. 3 - Meetings Of The City Council

"Shall the River Oaks Home Rule Charter be amended to require that the City Council hold at least one (1) regular meeting per month and as many additional meetings as may be necessary to transact City business by amending Section 3.10?"

Proposition No. 4 - Qualification And Forfeiture

"Shall the River Oaks Home Rule Charter be amended to establish qualifications of office for the mayor and council members by revising Section 3.07?"

CITY OF SAGINAW

Councilmember, Place 5 (Unexpired Term)

- Alex Drew McCraw
- Monte T. Nichols

CITY OF SANSOM PARK

Proposition No. 1

"The reauthorization of the local sales and use tax in the City of Sansom Park, Texas at the rate of one-fourth (1/4) of one percent (1%) to continue providing revenue for maintenance and repair of municipal streets."

TOWN OF TROPHY CLUB

Proposition No. 1

"The issuance of \$5,000,000 General Obligation Bonds for park, open space and recreation improvements and land acquisition therefor, and the levying of a tax in payment thereof."

Join The League of Women Voters today!

LWV Arlington

The League of Women Voters of Arlington serves Arlington, Dalworthington Gardens, Kennedale, Pantego, and Mansfield.

- \$60 Individual
- \$90 Household (2 members at one address)
- \$25 Friend of the League or Student
- \$100 Sustaining member
- \$250 Advocate
- \$500 Patron
- \$1000 Benefactor

Name(s) _____

Address: _____

City: _____ Zip code: _____

Phone : _____

Email address: _____

When you become a member of LWVA, you will automatically be enrolled in The League of Women Voters at the state and national levels. Friends of the Arlington League will receive the newsletter and notices of local issues and events.

P O Box 127, Arlington, Texas 76004-0127, 817/472-5982

LWV Tarrant County

The League of Women Voters of Tarrant County serves all cities in Tarrant County not served by LWV Arlington.

- \$59 Individual
- \$89 Household (2 members at one address)
- \$49 Senior age 65 and above
- \$24 Contemporaries (non-voting member)
- \$100 Sustaining member - Elizabeth Cady Stanton
- \$150 Advocate - Carrie Chapman Catt
- \$200 Patron - Mary McLeod Bethune
- \$300 Benefactor - Eleanor Roosevelt

Name(s): _____

Address: _____

City: _____ Zip code _____

Phone: _____

Email address: _____

When you become a member of LWV-TC, you will automatically be enrolled in The League of Women Voters at the state and national levels.

3212 Collinsworth St, Ft Worth, Texas 76107, 817/831-8683



2010 CENSUS: IT'S IN OUR HANDS